

PHILLIPS ORMONDE AND FITZPATRICK

PATENT AND TRADE MARK ATTORNEYS

367 COLLINS STREET, MELBOURNE, AUSTRALIA 3000

ESTABLISHED 1899

DAVID B. FITZPATRICK, B.SC., F.I.P.A.A.  
TERRENCE J. COLLINS, DIP.MECH.E., GRAD.I.E.AUST., F.I.P.A.A.  
JOHN A. WATERS, A.R.M.I.T., A.R.A.C.I., F.I.P.A.A.  
MALCOLM J. ROYAL, DIP.APP.CHEM., A.R.A.C.I., F.I.P.A.A.  
ROBERT N. CROSS, B.SC., B.COM., F.I.P.A.A., C.P.A.  
COLIN J. OBERIN, DIP.APP.CHEM., A.R.A.C.I., F.I.P.A.A.  
GRAHAM L. COWIN, DIP.MECH.E., GRAD.I.E.AUST., F.I.P.A.A.  
MICHAEL J. GRANT, B.SC., DIP.LIB., F.I.P.A.A.  
PAUL W. JONES, B.APP.SC.(CHEM.), A.R.A.C.I., F.I.P.A.A.  
CONSULTANT:  
BERTRAM C. FITZPATRICK, F.I.P.A.A.

IN REPLY PLEASE QUOTE OUR REFERENCE

TELEX: AA34316

CABLES: POF MELBOURNE

TELEPHONE: (03) 614 1944

AIRMAIL

RECEIVED

MAR 23 1982

15th March, 1982.

Mr. G. Esler Inskeep,  
Law Department,  
Patent Section,  
Philip Morris Incorporated,  
P.O. Box 26583,  
Richmond, Virginia 23261,  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Ref: (RNC:WD) PHILIP MORRIS INCORPORATED  
Australian Patent Appln. 47413/79  
Your Ref: PM 697 Australia

Dear Mr. Inskeep,

We thank you for your letter of 4th March, 1982 and  
enclose a copy of a response now forwarded to the Patent Office.

While it appears that the response to the final U.S.  
rejection was unsuccessful in relation to claims 7 to 11, the  
approach adopted in that response appears entirely appropriate.  
Additionally, under Australian practice, it is highly unlikely  
that the disclosure of Armstrong and Fredrickson could be  
successfully combined and, particularly in view of this, we  
have retained claims 7 to 11.

We shall keep you advised on progress with the application  
and, in the meanwhile, we enclose our debit note in this matter.

Yours sincerely,  
PHILLIPS ORMONDE AND FITZPATRICK

(Robert N. Cross)

Enc: